Govt. of J&K (UT)
Higher Education Department
उच्चतर शिक्षा विभाग محکمہ اعلیٰ تعلیم
OFFICE OF THE PRINCIPAL
Shaheed Himayun Muzamil Memorial
Govt. Degree College Anantnag
Khanabal, Anantnag - 192101 (J&K) | NAAC Accredited Grade 'B' | CGPA: 2.53

No: GDCA/J&AC/26/2054 Dated: 4-11-2026

ACCESSIBILITY GUIDELINES AND STANDARDS FOR HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS AND UNIVERSITIES

Subject: Inclusive Education, Accessibility and Institutional Standards

Abstract

Accessibility in higher education is the planned removal of barriers that prevent students, faculty, staff, researchers, and visitors with disabilities from participating fully in university life. This project explains accessibility as an institutional responsibility rather than a narrow matter of individual accommodation. It examines the principles of equal participation, universal design, reasonable accommodation, accessible infrastructure, digital access, inclusive teaching, student support, procurement, governance, and evaluation. It also introduces major international and national frameworks, including the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, the Web Content Accessibility Guidelines, disability-rights legislation in India, and selected standards used in other jurisdictions. The discussion is organized to support institutional planning and academic understanding. It proposes a phased implementation model, practical indicators, and a checklist for reviewing progress. The document is written in original wording and cites authoritative resources for further verification. It is a learning resource and should not be treated as legal advice or as a substitute for the current official text of applicable laws and standards.

Keywords: accessibility, higher education, disability inclusion, universal design, reasonable accommodation, WCAG, inclusive learning, campus access.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction
2. Objectives and Scope
3. Conceptual Foundations
4. International and National Frameworks
5. Institutional Governance and Policy
6. Physical and Built-Environment Accessibility
7. Digital and Information Accessibility (Digital and Information Accessibility)

No. _____ Dated: 04-11-2026

8. Inclusive Teaching, Learning and Assessment

9. Student Services and Campus Participation

10. Accessible Procurement and Technology

11. Assessment, Auditing and Quality Assurance

12. Implementation Plan

13. Recommendations

14. Conclusion

References

Appendix A: Institutional Accessibility Checklist

Appendix B: Suggested Accessibility Indicators

Govt. of J&K (UT)
Higher Education Department
उच्चतर शिक्षा विभाग محکمہ اعلیٰ تعلیم
OFFICE OF THE PRINCIPAL
Shaheed Himayun Muzamil Memorial
Govt. Degree College Anantnag
Khanabal, Anantnag - 192101 (J&K) | NAAC Accredited Grade 'B' | CGPA: 2.53

No. _____ Dated: 04-11-2026

1. Introduction

Universities influence social mobility, professional opportunity, research, public leadership, and cultural participation. Their educational mission is incomplete when a student cannot enter a building, use a learning platform, understand course materials, participate in an examination, or obtain support because of an avoidable barrier. Accessibility therefore belongs within the institution's academic quality, administrative planning, and public responsibilities.

Disability-related barriers may be physical, sensory, communication-based, cognitive, digital, procedural, or attitudinal. A staircase without an alternative route can exclude a wheelchair user; an uncaptioned lecture can limit access for a deaf student; an inaccessible online form can prevent an applicant from registering; and inflexible assessment arrangements can disadvantage a student whose disability affects the usual method or timing of response. Such barriers are often created by environments and systems rather than by the individual alone.

An accessible university anticipates diversity in how people move, perceive information, communicate, learn, and interact with technology. It combines inclusive design for the wider community with individualized adjustments when a particular person needs additional support. This approach benefits disabled people while often improving usability for everyone.

This project presents a practical overview of principles, standards, and institutional actions. Since legal duties vary by jurisdiction and may change, institutions should verify current official requirements before using the material as a compliance instrument.

2. Objectives and Scope

2.1 Objectives

a) Explain accessibility and its relationship to equality, participation, and educational quality.
b) Identify major frameworks and technical standards relevant to universities.
c) Describe responsibilities for accessible buildings, digital systems, teaching, assessment, and student services.
d) Suggest governance, procurement, evaluation, and improvement processes.
e) Provide practical checklists and indicators that institutions can adapt to local conditions.

2.2 Scope

The scope includes applicants, enrolled students, graduates, academic and non-academic employees, researchers, visiting scholars, contractors, families, and members of the public using university services. It covers physical campuses, online services, teaching materials, learning platforms, events, libraries, laboratories, residences, placements, fieldwork, and emergency arrangements. The precise legal scope of each duty depends on the institution and jurisdiction.

No. _____ Dated: 4-11-2026

3. Conceptual Foundations

3.1 Accessibility

Accessibility is the quality of a place, service, product, communication, or process that enables people with different abilities to use it. It should be considered throughout planning, design, purchasing, delivery, and maintenance rather than added only after a complaint.

3.2 Universal design and inclusive design

Universal design aims to make environments and products usable by as many people as possible without requiring special adaptation. Inclusive design complements this approach by recognizing that one solution may not work for everyone and by involving people with varied experiences in design decisions. In education, these approaches encourage clear course structures, multiple ways to engage with content, and flexible methods for demonstrating learning.

3.3 Reasonable accommodation

Reasonable accommodation is an individualized change that enables a person with a disability to exercise rights or participate on an equal basis, subject to the applicable legal framework. Examples may include accessible-format materials, additional examination time, an interpreter, adjusted work arrangements, or a change in the way a service is delivered. The process should be timely, confidential, respectful, and understandable.

3.4 The social and rights-based perspectives

A rights-based approach views disabled people as rights-holders and institutions as responsible for removing discrimination and barriers. A social perspective draws attention to how inaccessible environments, policies, and attitudes create exclusion. Both perspectives support participation, autonomy, and accountability.

3.5 Accessibility as a continuous process

Accessibility is not achieved permanently through a single audit. New software, buildings, course materials, vendors, and procedures can introduce barriers. Institutions need assigned responsibility, recurring testing, accessible feedback channels, and planned remediation.

04

4. International and National Frameworks

The following frameworks are commonly relevant. Their legal force and applicability differ; institutions should identify which provisions are binding and which are voluntary or used as technical benchmarks.

Framework	Main contribution	Potential institutional use
UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities	Rights-based commitments concerning accessibility,	Guide institutional policy and inclusion strategy.

(CRPD)	education, equality, participation, and reasonable accommodation.	
WCAG 2.2, W3C	Technical criteria for accessible web content, organized around Perceivable, Operable, Understandable, and Robust principles.	Evaluate websites, portals, learning platforms, and digital course content.
W3C WAI-ARIA	Semantics and states for interactive web interfaces.	Support custom controls where native HTML does not provide adequate semantics; test carefully.
Section 508, United States	Accessibility requirements for covered federal information and communication technology.	Relevant to covered U.S. institutions, procurement, and services.
Americans with Disabilities Act, United States	Non-discrimination and effective communication duties; coverage depends on entity and service.	Review covered programs, facilities, services, and accommodation processes.
U.S. DOJ 2024 Title II web rule	For covered state and local government entities, establishes WCAG 2.1 AA requirements and implementation timelines.	Confirm whether the institution is covered and determine its applicable deadline.
European Accessibility Act	Requirements for specified products and services, subject to scope and national implementation.	Review covered digital services, e-books, and relevant service offerings.
EN 301 549	European ICT accessibility requirements spanning web, software, documents, and hardware.	Use where required by procurement rules or applicable policy.
ISO 30071-1	Organizational guidance for managing accessible ICT	Integrate accessibility into policy, design, procurement,

No. _____ Dated: _____

	across its lifecycle.	testing, and maintenance.
CAST Universal Design for Learning Guidelines	A framework for flexible engagement, representation, and action or expression in learning.	Inform course design, teaching strategies, and assessment options.
India: Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016	Indian disability-rights legislation addressing equality, education, accessibility, and duties of covered entities.	Review the Act, rules, notified standards, and applicable institutional obligations.
India: Accessible India Campaign	National initiative supporting accessibility in the built environment, transport, and ICT.	Use as policy context and consult current official guidance.
India: UGC and higher-education directions	Regulations, circulars, and guidance may address inclusion, equal opportunity, and disability support.	Check current UGC requirements and institution-specific directions.

A technical benchmark is not automatically identical to a legal obligation. A university should document the standards it has selected, the reasons for selection, the services covered, and the method used to assess conformance.

5. Institutional Governance and Policy

5.1 Leadership and accountability

Senior leadership should endorse accessibility as part of institutional strategy and provide the authority and resources needed to implement it. A designated executive sponsor can coordinate priorities, while an accessibility lead manages operational work. Responsibilities should be distributed across academic departments, information technology, facilities, procurement, libraries, human resources, communications, student affairs, and disability support.

(66)

5.2 Written policy

A useful policy defines its scope, principles, roles, service standards, complaint routes, confidentiality safeguards, review schedule, and relationship to applicable law. It should describe how accessibility is considered in new projects and how existing barriers are prioritized.

5.3 Participation

Disabled students and employees should be involved in policy development, testing, and review. Consultation should be accessible and should not depend on a person disclosing a diagnosis

publicly. Institutions should recognize that disability experiences differ and avoid treating one participant as representative of everyone.

5.4 Resources and communication

Accessibility needs dedicated funding for staff, assistive technology, accessible formats, captioning, interpreting, audits, training, and remediation. Public-facing accessibility statements should identify known limitations, contact channels, and plans for improvement.

6. Physical and Built-Environment Accessibility

Campus accessibility includes the complete journey through a site, not merely the entrance to an individual building. Planning should account for routes from public transport, parking, drop-off points, entrances, classrooms, libraries, laboratories, residences, dining facilities, recreation spaces, and emergency assembly areas.

f) Provide continuous accessible routes and communicate alternate routes when construction or maintenance interrupts them.
g) Review ramps, lifts, door widths, thresholds, controls, circulation space, counters, seating, and toilet facilities against applicable building standards.
h) Use clear, consistent wayfinding; provide tactile, Braille, high-contrast, audible, or visual information where required.
i) Ensure classrooms and laboratories have usable layouts, adjustable furniture where needed, reachable controls, and accessible equipment.
j) Plan accessible evacuation information, alarms, refuge or assistance procedures, and individualized emergency arrangements as appropriate.
k) Review residences, dining areas, sports facilities, auditoriums, clinics, and temporary event spaces—not only teaching buildings.
l) Include accessibility in maintenance schedules, renovation planning, campus maps, and contractor instructions.

A campus audit should include observation of actual journeys and consultation with users. A building may satisfy a narrow checklist yet remain difficult to use because doors are heavy, signs are confusing, lifts are unreliable, or accessible routes are poorly communicated.

(57)

7. Digital and Information Accessibility

7.1 Websites and portals

University websites and portals should use meaningful page titles, semantic headings, descriptive links, keyboard-operable controls, visible focus, clear labels, understandable errors, adequate contrast, and layouts that remain usable when text is enlarged. Authentication, payment, registration, and identity-verification processes also require accessibility review.

7.2 Learning management systems

Accessibility testing should cover course navigation, quizzes, discussion boards, embedded media, assignment submission, mobile views, and integrations supplied by third parties. An accessible platform does not guarantee that every instructor-created item within it is accessible.

7.3 Documents and learning materials

When possible, provide accessible HTML or properly structured editable documents rather than relying exclusively on PDF. Documents should have logical headings, meaningful reading order, descriptive alternative text, accessible tables, a declared language, and a useful title. Scanned pages may require text recognition and careful quality review.

7.4 Multimedia

Recorded and live media should include accurate captions where speech or meaningful sound is present. Transcripts provide another route to information. Audio description or equivalent verbal explanation is needed when important visual content is not otherwise conveyed. Media controls should be operable and understandable.

7.5 Images, charts, and diagrams

Alternative text should communicate an image's purpose in context. Complex charts, maps, and diagrams may need a longer description or an accompanying accessible data table. Decorative images should not create unnecessary screen-reader output.

7.6 Software and mobile applications

Testing should consider keyboard operation, screen-reader output, platform accessibility APIs, magnification, text scaling, voice control, focus order, orientation, and status announcements. Accessibility should be included in release testing and vendor acceptance.

(80)

7.7 Third-party services

Libraries, examination systems, video platforms, online forms, and other purchased services can create significant barriers. Contracts should require accessibility evidence, testing access, remediation commitments, and accessible support documentation.

8. Inclusive Teaching, Learning and Assessment

8.1 Course organization

Consistent course structures reduce unnecessary navigation and memory demands. Instructors can publish schedules, reading lists, assignment instructions, and materials in advance, using descriptive filenames and accessible formats.

No. _____ Dated: 4-11-2026

8.2 Multiple means of learning

Where learning outcomes permit, information can be offered through text, spoken explanation, visual material, demonstrations, and practical activities. This flexibility supports varied learners without lowering academic expectations.

8.3 Accessible teaching materials

Slides should use readable layouts, meaningful headings, adequate contrast, and text descriptions for important visuals. Laboratory instructions, field-trip information, and reading materials should be checked before they are distributed.

8.4 Assessment

Assessment design should measure the intended learning outcome rather than an unrelated barrier. Depending on the course and individual circumstances, adjustments may include accessible examination venues, assistive technology, breaks, additional time, alternative formats, or a different response method. Essential competencies and academic integrity remain important.

8.5 Practical learning and placements

Fieldwork, clinical placements, internships, group projects, study abroad, and laboratory activities require early planning. Institutions should identify barriers with the student and placement provider, clarify responsibilities, and agree on practical arrangements before participation begins.

8.6 Faculty development

Training should be practical and role-specific. Faculty may need support in accessible document creation, captioning, inclusive classroom communication, accessible assessment, and responding to accommodation notices.

9. Student Services and Campus Participation

Accessibility extends beyond instruction. A student may encounter barriers during application, admission, registration, advising, counseling, health services, financial aid, housing, dining, library use, career planning, extracurricular activities, and graduation.

m) Publish a clear and confidential accommodation process with steps, contact details, expected timelines, documentation guidance, and an appeal or review route.
n) Provide accessible communication and service channels, including digital and in-person options where appropriate.
o) Make events accessible through venue selection, registration, seating, microphones, captions, interpreters, materials, and emergency planning.
p) Provide assistive technology, accessible-format conversion, interpreting, note-taking support, or accessible transport where required and available under applicable rules.
q) Ensure complaint mechanisms are accessible and address discrimination, harassment, and retaliation.

r) Protect disability-related information through limited access, secure handling, and clear explanations of how information is used.

10. Accessible Procurement and Technology

Procurement decisions can either prevent barriers or embed them for years. Accessibility should be evaluated before purchase and throughout the contract lifecycle.

1. Describe accessibility requirements in the request for proposal and contract.
2. Request a current Accessibility Conformance Report, including a VPAT where relevant, and review its scope and evidence.
3. Test key user journeys with keyboard access and assistive technologies rather than relying solely on supplier statements.
4. Record known defects, their severity, workarounds, and planned remediation dates.
5. Require accessible documentation, support channels, release notes, and reasonable cooperation with institutional testing.
6. Maintain an inventory of digital products, business owners, renewal dates, risk levels, and accessibility status.

A supplier's conformance report is evidence to review, not a guarantee that a product is usable in the university's particular environment.

11. Assessment, Auditing and Quality Assurance

A credible accessibility evaluation combines automated tools, expert manual inspection, assistive-technology testing, and user experience research. Automated scans can detect certain code and contrast issues but cannot determine whether content is understandable, alternative text is meaningful, or a task is practical for a disabled user.

Evaluation area	Questions to investigate
Keyboard	Can all controls be reached and operated? Is focus visible and logical? Can dialogs be exited?
Screen reader	Are names, roles, states, headings, landmarks, tables, errors, and updates announced accurately?
Visual access	Can text be resized? Is contrast adequate? Does content reflow? Is information conveyed without color alone?
Cognitive access	Are instructions clear, navigation predictable,

	and errors preventable or recoverable?
Documents and media	Is reading order meaningful? Are captions accurate? Are transcripts and accessible controls available?
User testing	Have people with varied disabilities and assistive technologies completed realistic tasks?

Findings should be prioritized by impact, frequency, number of people affected, availability of alternatives, and risk. Reports should identify the affected service, evidence, responsible owner, target date, and verification method. Retesting is necessary after remediation.

12. Implementation Plan

Phase	Recommended actions	Expected outputs
Months 0–3	Appoint leadership and accessibility lead; map services and assets; review applicable laws; publish contact routes; identify urgent barriers.	Governance structure, inventory, baseline, risk register.
Months 3–6	Audit high-impact websites, learning platforms, admissions, library systems, key documents, classrooms, and routes; train staff; strengthen procurement rules.	Audit findings, prioritized remediation plan, training records.
Months 6–12	Resolve critical barriers; introduce accessible course templates; improve facilities and service workflows; conduct user testing.	Improved services, templates, tested workflows.
Ongoing	Monitor new releases and purchases; repeat audits; review feedback; publish progress; update policy and training.	Performance indicators, annual review, continuous improvement.

No. _____ Dated: 4-11-2026

Institutions should adapt the schedule to their size, risk profile, resources, legal deadlines, and the severity of barriers. Urgent safety and equal-participation barriers should not wait for the end of a general implementation cycle.

13. Recommendations

7. Treat accessibility as a core measure of educational quality and institutional responsibility.
8. Adopt a written policy with clear leadership, responsibilities, funding, and review procedures.
9. Use current applicable laws and recognized technical standards to establish measurable requirements.
10. Prioritize high-impact services such as admissions, learning platforms, libraries, examinations, and campus routes.
11. Build accessibility into curriculum design, faculty development, procurement, construction, and technology release processes.
12. Consult disabled students and staff throughout planning, testing, and evaluation.
13. Provide timely, confidential, and respectful individualized accommodation processes.
14. Use a combination of automated testing, expert review, assistive technologies, and disabled user testing.
15. Publish progress and known barriers in accessible formats while protecting personal information.
16. Review standards and institutional practices regularly because technology, law, and user needs evolve.

14. Conclusion

(12)

Accessible higher education is created through coordinated institutional decisions. Buildings, digital systems, teaching methods, student services, and administrative procedures must work together so that disability does not become a preventable barrier to participation. Universal design can reduce common barriers, while reasonable accommodation responds to individual requirements that remain. Effective implementation depends on leadership, resources, consultation, procurement controls, testing, and continuous improvement.

The frameworks discussed in this project provide a foundation for planning, but institutions must determine which laws and standards apply to their own circumstances. A university that treats accessibility as an ongoing responsibility can strengthen educational participation, service quality, dignity, and trust across its community.

No. _____ Dated: 4-11-2026

References

17. CAST. (n.d.). Universal Design for Learning Guidelines. https://udlguidelines.cast.org/
18. European Commission. (n.d.). European Accessibility Act. https://commission.europa.eu/strategy-and-policy/policies/justice-and-fundamental-rights/disability/european-accessibility-act_en
19. European Telecommunications Standards Institute. (n.d.). ETSI standards, including EN 301 549. https://www.etsi.org/standards
20. Government of India. (2016). The Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016. India Code. https://www.indiacode.nic.in/
21. Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment, Government of India. (n.d.). Department of Empowerment of Persons with Disabilities. https://depwd.gov.in/
22. U.S. Department of Justice. (n.d.). Americans with Disabilities Act. https://www.ada.gov/
23. U.S. Department of Justice. (2024). Fact sheet: New rule on the accessibility of web content and mobile apps provided by state and local governments. https://www.ada.gov/resources/2024-03-08-web-rule/
24. U.S. General Services Administration. (n.d.). Section 508. https://www.section508.gov/
25. United Nations. (2006). Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities. https://www.ohchr.org/en/instruments-mechanisms/instruments/convention-rights-persons-disabilities
26. University Grants Commission, India. (n.d.). Official website and higher-education guidance. https://www.ugc.gov.in/
27. World Wide Web Consortium. (2023). Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG) 2.2. https://www.w3.org/TR/WCAG22/
28. World Wide Web Consortium. (n.d.). Web Accessibility Initiative (WAI). https://www.w3.org/WAI/
29. International Organization for Standardization. (2019). ISO 30071-1:2019—Information technology—Development of user interface accessibility—Part 1: Code of practice for creating accessible ICT products and services. https://www.iso.org/standard/65871.html

(13)

Principal
Shaheed Himayun Muzamil Memorial
Govt. Degree College, Anantnag (Estb.)